Jefferson County PUD

Rate Design

May 5, 2015

Presented by:

Gary Saleba, President EES Consulting, Inc.

A registered professional engineering corporation and management consulting firm with offices in the Seattle and Portland metropolitan areas Telephone (425) 889-2700 Facsimile (425) 889-2725



- Rate Simplification by Class
- Other Rate Issues
- Questions / Answers

Residential/Farm Rates – Initial Thoughts from EES

Rate 7

- Elimination of block rates had large bill impacts
- Current rate differential = 1.8 cents per kWh
- Tier 1/Tier 2 BPA rate differential = 0.5 cents per kWh
- No change in rates at this time
- Consider reduction or elimination of blocks for Phase 2

Rate 8

- Recommend eliminating separate farm rate
- Most move to Rate 7, very large move to Rate 24
- Note below for impacts

Residential/Farm Rates (cont'd)

- 8 ete8
- Rate 8 has 859 customers
- Collected latest month of data for all customers
- 203 customers used more than 600 kWh (block 2)
- 11 customers used more than 4,000 kWh
- Recommend users over 3,000 or 4,000 kWh/month move to Rate 24

Rate 8 Bill Impacts

- Small Users See Rate Decrease, Large Users See Rate Increase
- Expect Small Impact on Overall Revenues for the Class

kWh/Month						
Range	% of Total	Current Rate 8	Move to Rate 7	% Change	Move to Rate 24	% Change
0-100	36.6%	\$13.31	\$10.69	-20%	\$16.17	21%
101-300	21.6%	\$25.85	\$23.20	-10%	\$29.99	16%
301-600	15.3%	\$47.16	\$44.46	-6%	\$53.48	13%
601-1000	11.1%	\$76.97	\$77.64	1%	\$86.34	12%
1001-1500	8.4%	\$115.03	\$123.92	8%	\$128.29	12%
1501-2000	2.1%	\$156.16	\$173.95	11%	\$173.63	11%
2001-3000	2.7%	\$211.86	\$241.67	14%	\$235.02	11%
3001-4000	0.8%	\$309.70	\$360.65	16%	\$342.87	11%
4001-5000	0.3%	\$391.58	\$460.21	18%	\$433.12	11%
5001-6000	0.6%	\$470.04	\$555.62	18%	\$519.60	11%
High User	0.1%	\$637.10	\$758.76	19%	\$703.74	10%
High User	0.1%	\$974.79	\$1,169.39	20%	\$1,075.96	10%
High User	0.1%	\$1,040.04	\$1,248.73	20%	\$1,147.89	10%
High User	0.1%	\$1,847.12	\$2,230.14	21%	\$2,037.50	10%

Small Commercial Rates (<50 kW)

- Eliminate Seasonal Difference
- Rate 24 has 2,054 customers
- Demand charge in place but not used
- Increase for large summer users but less than 1% change (not significant)

2 nmmer	Z6160 [.] 0\$	
Winter	Z0S60 [.] 0\$	
Епегву Сһагве		06860.0\$
2nmmer	00010.9\$	
Winter	00010.6\$	
Demand Charge		
Basic Charge	\$15.64	\$15.64
	Current Rate	Alternative Rate

Large Commercial Rates (>50 kW)

- Combine Rates 25 and 26
 - No seasonal difference, no blocks
 - Rate 25 has 68 customers, Rate 26 has 3 customers

	Current Rate 25	Current Rate 26	Alternative Rate
Basic Charge	\$51.67	\$104.46	\$60.00
Demand Charge			\$7.50
Winter	\$9.01	\$8.94	
Summer	\$6.01	\$5.96	
Energy Charge		\$0.06706	\$0.0652
Winter	\$0.09479		
Summer	\$0.08664		
Block 2	\$0.06928		

Rate 25 Bill Impacts

- Impacts Due to Eliminating First 50 kW Free/Declining Block
- Moderate Decrease for Large Users and Small Users with High Peaks
- Larger Increases for Smaller Users
- Mote: Average of Winter/Summer Bills
- Based on April Bills Might Not Be Representative

Average % Change	Load Factor	kW	кми	# Customers
%L- 01 %S-	30% to 81%	23-228	20,000-115,000	72
%4- of %E-	%Lt o1 %91	192-252	23,000-31,000	7
%0 ot %2-	14% to 52%	42-147	14,000-17,000	ττ
%9+ o1 %£+	%86 ०१ %११	38-143	000,61-000,6	OT
%6+ o1 % / +	15% to 32%	16-48	۷٬000-11,000	8
412% to +28%	%£4 of %£2	79-52	000'0T-000'b	S
%EZ+ o1 %EE+	%\t ot %8	98-68	4'000-2'000	b

Rate 26 Bill Impacts

Small Decrease for All 3 Customers – Not Significant

				Mont		
Customers	kWh/mo	kW Demand	Load Factor	Current	Phase 1	% Change
2	486,240	804.3	81%	\$38,776	\$37,795	-2.5%
1	320,400	502.8	86%	\$25,381	\$24,721	-2.6%

Rate 31

- Keep Current Customer and Energy Rates
- Eliminate Seasonal Difference in Demand Charge
- = Current = \$8.64 Winter and \$5.76 Summer
- Proposed = \$7.25 All Year
- Rate Impacts Only If Large Seasonality for a Customer

Lighting Rates

Results Based on COSA

- \$18.00 Up to 200 watts
- \$21.00 Over 200 watts

Watts	# Lamps	Average Rate/Light	Existing Revenue/Month	Proposed Rate	Proposed Revenue/Month
400	21	\$24.96	\$524.11	\$21.00	\$441.00
250	13	\$20.00	\$259.99	\$21.00	\$273.00
200	123	\$7.16	\$880.98	\$18.00	\$2,214.00
150	109	\$3.76	\$410.19	\$18.00	\$1,962.00
100	667	\$14.26	\$9,513.18	\$18.00	\$12,006.00
unidentified	76	\$55.05	\$4,183.84	\$18.00	\$1,368.00
City > 200 watts	2	\$18.92	\$37.83	\$18.00	\$36.00
City <= 200 watts	551	\$13.56	\$7,471.13	\$21.00	\$11,571.00
Total	1009	\$15.63	\$15,772.29	\$18.14	\$18,300.00

Other Rates

lrrigation – Move to Small Commercial Rates

	slli8 yl		
% Impact	PS əts A	Current	кми/шо
%0.82-	\$22.12	\$30.72	TOT
%S'Tb	\$6'T9\$\$	80.798\$	058'S
%9.E4	99.688\$	14.619\$	9,340

Interruptible Schools – Move to Rate 31

Move off primary rates if advantageous

	ly Bills	Month			
% Impact	Rate 25	Current	Load Factor	kW Demand	кми/шо
%8T	196Ԡ\$	907'7\$	31%	9.702	000'81
% † Z	098'01\$	\$8,345	%8T	9.429	84,600 (Average 2 customers)
%07	\$21,938	\$18,342	30%	1,002.0	220,800

New Large Single Loads

Based on Potential of Causing Tier 2 BPA Power Purchases

- Tier 2 amount tied for historic loads
- 2.5 MWa added load could trigger Tier 2 for JPUD
- Want to hold existing customers harmless from rate increases due to NLSL

Initial Staff Proposal

- NLSL over 1 MW has tiered rate
- First 1 MW at standard large commercial rate
- Over 1 MW charged premium to reflect the difference between Tier 1 and Tier 2 rates (Currently 0.5 cents per kWh)

Policy Question

Apply to growth of existing customers?

Questions / Answers